ORIGINAL RESEARCH article

# Evaluating the effects of insulin, metformin and glibenclamide on the pups' prefrontal cortex and oxidative stress markers of streptozotocin-induced diabetic pregnant rats

Seun A. Sangoyomi <sup>1</sup> , Sodiq K. Lawal <sup>2</sup> <sup>\*</sup> , Adeoluwa Akeem Adeniji <sup>1</sup> , Abraham A. Osinubi <sup>1</sup> , Samuel O. Olojede <sup>3</sup> , Okikioluwa S. Aladeyelu <sup>4</sup> , Matome N. Matshipi <sup>5</sup> , and Sylvia K. Dithole <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Anatomy, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria
<sup>2</sup> School of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Botswana, Gaborone, Botswana, <sup>3</sup> Department of Human Biology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Walter Sisulu University, Mthatha, South Africa, <sup>4</sup> Discipline of Clinical Anatomy, School of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, University, Durban, South Africa
<sup>5</sup> Department of Natural Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Fort Hare, East London, South Africa
\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed

Received: 30-01-2024, Revised: 14-02-2024, Accepted: 17-02-2024, Published: 31-03-2024

**Copyright**<sup>©</sup> 2024. This open-access article is distributed under the *Creative Commons Attribution License*, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### HOW TO CITE THIS

Sangoyomi et al. (2024) Evaluating the effects of insulin, metformin and glibenclamide on the pups' prefrontal cortex and oxidative stress markers of streptozotocin-induced diabetic pregnant rats.
 Mediterr J Pharm Pharm Sci. 4 (1): 42-51. [Article number: 144]. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10627454

Keywords: Cerebral cortex, gestation diabetes mellitus, glibenclamide, insulin, metformin, streptozotocin

Abstract: There is an upsurge in gestational diabetes mellitus with many devastating consequences for the mother and developing fetus. Insulin therapy remains a mainstay. However, insulin is expensive and comes with the pain of multiple injections. Therefore, there is a need to explore commonly administered oral hypoglycemic agents to cater for the increasing gestational diabetes mellitus-associated neurological complications. This study assesses the effects of glibenclamide, metformin and insulin on the pups' prefrontal cortex in diabetic pregnant rats. 35 sexually matured adult female rats weighing between 120 g and 160 g were used and assigned into five groups (A to E) of seven rats each group. Diabetes was induced by streptozotocin (45 mg/kg and 35 mg/kg; ip). Hyperglycemic rats were treated with insulin (1.0 UI daily), metformin (200 mg/kg/day) and glibenclamide (0.6 mg/kg/day). Body weight and blood glucose levels were evaluated. Rats were sacrificed at 18-day gestation, the pups were harvested, and their brains were processed for tissue oxidative stress markers and various histological examinations. Glibenclamide and metformin caused a significant blood glucose reduction at 37.9% and 40.7%, respectively, compared to the insulin group (33.09%). There was no significant difference in the body-organ ratio in rats treated with metformin when compared to rats treated with insulin. Metformin and glibenclamide had a significant increase in tissue glutathione reductase and a decrease in malondialdehyde compared with insulin and diabetic control groups. The pups' prefrontal cortex showed degenerated neuronal cells in the diabetic control animals. The diabetic rats treated with metformin and glibenclamide showed improved pyramidal neurons compared with diabetic and insulin groups. This study suggests that metformin and glibenclamide glycemic control may prevent and improve antioxidant enzymes and reverse some neurotoxic effects caused by streptozotocin-induced diabetes in rats.

# Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a severe metabolic disorder associated with cerebral alterations [1, 2]. DM is classified into type I or insulin-dependent, type II or insulin-independent, and gestational diabetes [3]. Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is abnormal blood glucose levels that commence during pregnancy [4]. GDM complicates a considerable number of pregnancies, and the prevalence is one in every six births [5, 6]. So, GDM has become a public health issue of grave concern, with more devastating complications for the mother and the child [5, 6]. Several studies have reported various alterations to the brain structure, impairment of normal brain development and neurological deficits, especially in the cerebral cortex of GDM-affected mothers and offspring [7-9]. The mechanism for these neurological disorders was associated with free radical-induced oxidative stress, inflammatory responses, and dysfunction in the insulin-signaling pathway [7, 9]. With dire attempts and efforts to aggressively manage the GDM and its complications [10], it is necessary to ascertain the safety and efficacy of commonly administered oral hypoglycemic agents. The goal of managing GDM is to ensure proper glycemic control through dietary precautions and the administration of insulin or metformin [11, 12]. Though, most pregnant women have trypanophobia and that comes with multiple insulin injections. There are issues of possible risks of transplacental passage and subsequent congenital malformations with the use of oral hypoglycemic agents in pregnancy [13, 14]. Imperatively, a randomized control showed that metformin administration in pregnant women was safer and more tolerable than insulin without any complications [15]. Hence, these oral hypoglycemic agents are now considered better alternatives to insulin therapy during pregnancy due to their convenient administration, cost-effective, and pain-free [16, 17]. Glibenclamide, a second-generation sulfonylurea, represents one of the most widely administered oral hypoglycemic agents [18]. It stimulates insulin secretion and reduces hepatic glucose production, reducing blood glucose [19]. But, the use of glibenclamide is limited due to prolonged hypoglycemia, high secondary failure rate and other adverse events [20, 21]. Moreso, metformin is a commonly used oral anti-hypoglycemic agent, both as monotherapy and in combination with other agents, which reduces elevated blood glucose levels by reducing hepatic glucose output and by improving insulin resistance [22, 23]. Metformin has a lower risk of hypoglycemia than sulfonylureas [24, 25]. Against this backdrop, caution is needed as there is inadequate information to evaluate the risk of these agents in pregnancy [18, 26]. It is also well known to the researcher that there is still little information on the effects of metformin and glibenclamide on the cerebral cortex in pregnancy. Thus, this study assessed the comparative effects of metformin, glibenclamide and insulin on the cerebral cortex of dams and pups of streptozotocin-induced diabetic pregnant rats.

## Materials and methods

*Chemicals and hyperglycemic agents:* Streptozotocin (STZ) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chemical, Lagos, Nigeria. Metformin and glibenclamide insulin were purchased over the counter at Zayo Pharmaceuticals, Lagos, Nigeria. Glibenclamide and metformin were ground into a fine powder and dissolved in distilled water before administering to rats. The doses of glibenclamide (0.6 mg/kg) and metformin (200 mg/kg) were chosen based on previously published study [27].

*Animals:* 35 sexually matured female Wistar rats obtained from the College of Medicine, University of Lagos's animal house Lagos, Nigeria were used. The rats weighing between 120 g and 160 g were housed in a standard well-ventilated metal cage in the rat control room of the Department of Anatomy, University of Lagos. They were maintained with 12-hour light and 12-hour dark cycles. The rats had access to food (rat chow, Livestock Feeds Plc.) and tap-water *ad libitum* except during overnight fasting before blood collection for fasting glucose estimate. Rats were acclimatized for two weeks and were divided into five groups (n=7). Thus, group A (non-diabetic

control), B (diabetic control), C (insulin), D (metformin) and E (glibenclamide). Groups A, B, D & E were administered orally through metal oropharyngeal cannula, while group C was administered intraperitoneally to enhance insulin activity. All the laboratory protocols were done according to the standard guidelines of the University of Lagos, College of Medicine, Research Grants and Experimentation Ethical Committee (RGEEC).

*Induction of experimental diabetes:* DM was induced in groups B, C, D and E with STZ. STZ was dissolved in 0.1 mol/L of sodium citrate buffer (pH 4.5) [28], and injected intraperitoneally using a high dose of 45 mg/kg on the first day and a low dose of 35 mg/kg on the second day [29]. Only rats with blood glucose levels greater than 150 mg/dl were used in the study [30]. Glucometer (Accu-Chek Active, Roche Diagnosis, Germany) was used to determine the blood glucose level of the rats. Blood samples were obtained from the cut-tail tip of conscious rats.

*Mating period and pregnancy:* The female rats were mated with non-diabetes males of proven fertility. The mating occurred on the proestrus stage of the oestrous cycle, and the presence of sperms in the vaginal smear on the following morning was denoted as day 0 of the pregnancy [31].

*Experimental procedure:* Group A, non-diabetes control rats, received water throughout the experiment; group B, diabetes control rats, received water (0.5 ml); group C, diabetes rats, were treated with insulin (1.0 I.U./kg/day), and group D diabetes rats received 200 mg/kg/day of metformin period. All rats had their body weights and blood glucose measured and recorded daily throughout the experiment.

*Euthanasia and sample collection:* On the 18<sup>th</sup> day of pregnancy, the rats were anaesthetized with pentane, followed by total body perfusion with 0.1 M phosphate buffer saline through transcardial perfusion and euthanised by cervical dislocation. The pups (foetal) were collected and examined for any morphological deformity, and after that, they were carefully dissected, and their brain was excised for various analyses.

*Biochemical analysis:* After the pup's brains were harvested, they were rinsed in PBL, and 0.5 g of the cerebral cortex was homogenized in 5.0 ml sodium phosphate with 01.0% triton with 01.0% Triton X-100 (50 mM; pH 7.5). The homogenates were centrifuged for 10 min at 20 000 g (4 °C). The supernatants were decanted into Eppendorf tubes, labelled, and used for oxidative stress analysis.

*Determination of oxidative stress markers:* The cerebral homogenates were used to measure the concentrations of reduced glutathione (GSH) [32], antioxidant enzymes superoxide dismutase (SOD) and malondialdehyde (MDA) were determined [33, 34].

*Tissue processing for histology:* The cerebral tissue collected and was carefully dissected out, trimmed of fats, and fixed in 10% formal saline. The fixed tissues were transferred to 70%, 90% and 100% ethanol for dehydration and then cleared in xylene. Once cleared, the tissues were infiltrated in molten paraffin wax in the oven at 60 °C. The tissues were subsequently embedded, and serial sections of 3  $\mu$ m were obtained from a solid block of tissue using a rotary microtome. The sections were transferred into warm water at 40 °C. The floating sections were picked up with albumenized slides and allowed to dry on the hotplate. The slides were labelled, dewaxed, cleared with xylene and passed through two changes of 100%, 70%, 50% ethanol and water. The slides were stained with haematoxylin and eosin stains, and photomicrographs were taken at x100 magnification [35].

*Statistical analysis:* The data obtained were analyzed by one-way ANOVA to compare and find out the difference between the control and the experimental groups followed by post-hoc test to determine the statistical significance within groups. All analyses were done using Graph Pad Prism 8 (Graph pad, soft San Diego, CA 92108, USA). The P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant and the data were expressed as the mean±SEM.

# **Results**

In Table 1, streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats (group A) showed a progressive rise in fasting blood glucose levels compared to the non-diabetic rats, who received water throughout the experiment. After 18 days of treatment, there was a significant reduction in the blood glucose level in the insulin-treated group C (78.5±9.63, 33.09%), while metform in-treated group D had a significant reduction in blood glucose of  $(114\pm35.13, 40.73\%)$ when compared with insulin and glibenclamide. The glibenclamide-treated group had a reduced blood glucose of 82.07±24.53 (37.85%) with no statistically significant difference when compared with insulin-treated group C.

Also, as shown in Table 1, at the end of the treatment period, the diabetic rats treated with insulin gained a mean weight of 4.35±0.14 g (3.49%). The diabetic control rat (group B) lost a mean weight of 4.90±0.57 (4.20%), while the diabetic rats treated with glibenclamide (group E) lost a mean weight of 0.68±0.97 (0.53%). Interestingly, the treated group D had a moderate weight gain of 0.90 (3.17%) before and after the treatment period.

Group	Before treatment	Before treatment	After treatment	After treatment
n = 7	Blood glucose (mg/dL)	Body weight (g)	Blood glucose (mg/dL)	Body weight (g)
Control (A)	091.20±1.69	136.00±11.26	086.10±2.89	152.12±14.30
Diabetic (B)	164.00±13.44	136.04±6.89	247.00±8.09a	132.78±7.33ª
Insulin (C)	$237.20 \pm 8.63$	120.20±6.19	158.70±9.00 <sup>a,b</sup>	$124.55 \pm 6.05^{b}$
Metformin (D)	280.60±51.08	116.62±7.00	166.30±15.82 <sup>a,b</sup>	120.52±6.57 <sup>b</sup>
Glibenclamide (E)	216.80±38.93	126.08±10.00	134.73±14.40 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	125.40±16.97 <sup>b</sup>

Data presented in MEAN  $\pm$ SEM, at P<0.05 level of significance (ANOVA). <sup>a</sup>significant difference compared with control group, <sup>b</sup>significant difference from the diabetic group, <sup>c</sup>significant difference from the insulin group.

The effects of diabetes, insulin, metformin, and glibenclamide on pup's prefrontal cortex are shown in **Figure 1**. There was a significant decrease in GSH of diabetic control rats (group B) compared with the non-diabetic control (group A). Interestingly, the diabetic rats treated with metformin (group D) and glibenclamide (group E) had a significant improvement in GSH activity compared with diabetic control and diabetic rats treated with insulin (group C). Further investigation on MDA activity showed a significant increase in diabetic rats compared to the non-diabetic control group. Notably, the diabetic rats that received metformin and glibenclamide (groups D and E) had a significant decrease in the MDA levels compared with diabetic rats that received insulin and diabetic control rats.

Figure 2 shows pups' prefrontal cortex hematoxylin and eosin staining. The section of the pups' prefrontal cortex of the control group showed well-developed prefrontal pyramidal cells (Figure 2A). The diabetic group showed degenerated pyramidal cells (Figure 2B). The prefrontal cortex section of the group treated with insulin showed poorly stained pyramidal cells (Figure 2C). The diabetic group treated with metformin showed normal developing pyramidal cells compared with control (Figure 2D). The diabetic group treated with glibenclamide showed mildly congested pyramidal cells and vacuolation (Figure 2E).





 $^{a}P < 0.05$  vs control (A),  $^{b}P < 0.05$  vs diabetic group (B) and  $^{c}P < 0.05$  vs insulin (C).





Group A demonstrates normal and well-developed pyramidal cell (arrow) (H & E; x100), group B showed a degenerated pyramidal cell (Arrow) (H & E; x100), group C demonstrates a mildly degenerated pyramidal cell (H & E; x100), group D demonstrates normal pyramidal cell (Arrow) (H & E; x100), group E showed mild congested pyramidal cells and vacuolated glial cell (GC) (H & E; x100).

Sangoyomi et al. (2024) Mediterr J Pharm Pharm Sci. 4 (1): 42-51.



**Figure 3** shows pups' prefrontal cortex with Nissl staining of pyramidal neurons. The control group showed a cluster of pyramidal cells with a deep Nissl stain, as indicated by well-formed neurons (**Figure 3A**). The diabetic group showed degenerated pyramidal cells and vacuolated cytoplasm (**Figure 3B**). The prefrontal cortex section of the group treated with insulin showed a mild Nissl stain of the pyramidal nuclei, improved stained nuclei compared with diabetic control (**Figure 3C**). The diabetic group treated with metformin (**Figure 3D**) and glibenclamide (**Figure 3E**) showed some clusters of developing pyramidal neurons with deeply stained Nissl bodies.

Figure 3: Effects of metformin, glibenclamide and insulin on prefrontal cortex neuronal cells



Control (A), diabetic (B), insulin (C), metformin (D), glibenclamide (E) Black arrow = pointing at pyramidal neuron, PC= Pyramidal cell.

## Discussion

Prior attempts at elucidating the regulation of blood glucose's entry into neural tissue in pregnancies have taken many approaches. In STZ-induced diabetic rats, insulin deficiency or hyperinsulinemia develops as a consequence of the irreversible destruction of beta cells of the pancreas, resulting in hyperglycemia [36]. Glibenclamide and metformin significantly reduced blood glucose concentration in our study but there was no significant difference in the body weights across all the treated groups, similar to previous studies' findings [27, 37]. The mechanisms of action of glibenclamide and metformin are well documented [38]. We found that glibenclamide and metformin were as effective as Insulin in glycemic control. It is still debatable whether metformin and glibenclamide can be used during pregnancy instead of insulin due to the fear of teratogenic effects on the developing fetus [39]. However, most researchers have been limited to the use of Insulin controlling the blood sugar levels because it has been the only drug deemed safe for use in pregnancy. In this study, we studied the pups' prefrontal cortex cytoarchitecture and biomarkers in streptozotocin-induced pregnant Wistar rats treated with glibenclamide,

#### Mediterranean Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences www.medjpps.com

metformin and insulin for possible cognitive deficits associated with the use of oral hypoglycemic agents. At present, there is a growing acceptance of glibenclamide and metformin as the primary therapy for gestational diabetes [40]. This notion supported our findings that rats treated with metformin and glibenclamide showed a rapid and steady decrease in blood glucose levels. Langer et al. [14] in his study compared glibenclamide with Insulin in the treatment of gestational diabetes and found that daily blood glucose level is similar between subjects on glibenclamide and Insulin. This corroborates our findings that glibenclamide and Insulin significantly decreased the blood glucose level during the gestational period. Of relevance is that the undesirable effects induced by streptozotocin were entirely overridden, as observed in the control rats, and enhanced insulin secretion occurred after glucose overload [41].

Dhulkotia et al. [42] did a systematic review and meta-analysis to compare oral hypoglycemic agents versus Insulin in managing diabetes in pregnancy. They concluded there is no difference in glycemic control. This supports our findings that metformin and glibenclamide do have reasonable glycemic control. Furthermore, in the present study, the treatment of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats with metformin, glibenclamide and insulin, to an extent, prevented the prefrontal cortex lesions compared with diabetic rats without. The neuroprotective effects of glibenclamide, metformin, and insulin result from good glycemic control, which corresponds with improved oxidative stress markers. Data strongly suggest that diabetes may not necessarily cause permanent damage if intervention is initiated on time and glibenclamide and metformin are well-placed to manage hyperglycemia [27, 43]. The preservation of pyramidal neurons in the pups of diabetic rats treated with metformin and metformin showed that they possess neuroprotective effects. Interestingly, Metformin and glibenclamide significantly reduced the oxidative stress caused by people with diabetes by improving the antioxidant (Glutathione) and reducing the malondialdehyde. The current study was supported by other studies, which reported an increase in the level of antioxidant enzymes in diabetic patients or rats who received either metformin or glibenclamide monotherapy [44, 45]. Furthermore, results from the morphology of the prefrontal cortex of the diabetic rats showed that Metformin and glibenclamide alleviated prefrontal neuronal loss. The pyramidal neurons in the prefrontal cortex of the pups treated with metformin and glibenclamide were preserved from oxidative injury through antioxidant properties and positive glycemic control. This makes glibenclamide and metformin an experimentally effective alternative to insulin therapy. However, it remains to be examined whether glibenclamide inhibits conception in experimental rats and whether the litters are at high risk of developing type 2 diabetes. Further studies are required to ascertain their safety during the pregnancy.

*Conclusion:* This study suggests that metformin and glibenclamide hyperglycemia control may prevent and reserve some neuropathological conditions associated with diabetes in streptozotocin-induced diabetic dams and pups' rats.

# References

- Glaser N, Sasaki-russell J, Cohen M, Little C, O'Donnell M, Sall J (2017) Histological and cognitive alterations in adult diabetic rats following an episode of juvenile diabetic ketoacidosis: evidence of permanent cerebral injury. Neuroscience Letters. 650: 161-167. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2017.04.035
- Prabhakar K, Loganathan D (2017) ESICON abstracts. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism. 21: S4-S79. doi: Nil.
- 3. Punthakee Z, Goldenberg R, Katz P (2018) Definition, classification and diagnosis of diabetes, prediabetes and metabolic syndrome. Canadian Journal of Diabetes. 42: S10-S15. doi: 10.1016/j.jcjd.2017.10.003

- 4. Rani PR, Begum J (2016) Screening and diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus, where do we stand. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 10 (4): QE01-QE04. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/17588.7689
- Hod M, Kapur A, Sacks DA, Hadar E, Agarwal M, DI Renzo GC, Roura LC, Mcintyre HD, Morris JL, Divakar H (2015) The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) Initiative on gestational diabetes mellitus: A pragmatic guide for diagnosis, management, and care. International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 131: Suppl 3: 173-211. doi: 10.1016/S0020-7292(15)30033-3
- 6. Muche AA, OlayemI OO, Gete YK (2019) Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus and associated factors among women attending antenatal care at Gondar town public health facilities, Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 19: 334. 1-13. doi: 10.1186/s12884-019-2492-3
- 7. John CM, Mohamed Yusof NIS, Abdul Aziz SH, Mohd Fauzi F (2018) Maternal cognitive impairment associated with gestational diabetes mellitus-A review of potential contributing mechanisms. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 19 (12): 3894. doi: 10.3390/ijms19123894
- 8. Xuan DS, Zhao X, Liu YC, Xing QN, Shang HL, Zhu PY, Zhang XA (2020) Brain development in infants of mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus: a diffusion tensor imaging study. Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography. 44 (6): 947-952. doi: 10.1097/RCT.00000000001110
- Huerta-Cervantes M, Peña-montes DJ, López-Vázque MÁ, Montoya-Pérez R, Cortés-Rojo C, Olvera-Cortés ME, Saavedra-Molina A (2021) Effects of gestational diabetes in cognitive behavior, oxidative stress and metabolism on the second-generation off-spring of rats. Nutrients. 13 (5): 1575. doi: 10.3390/nu13051575
- Magon N, Seshiah V (2011) Gestational diabetes mellitus: Non-insulin management. Indian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism. 15 (4): 284-293. doi: 10.4103/2230-8210.85580
- 11. Jovanovic L, Pettitt DJ (2001) Gestational diabetes mellitus. JAMA. 286 (20): 2516-2518. doi: 10.1001/jama.286. 20.2516
- Nicholson W, Bolen S, Witkop CT, Neale D, Wilson L, Bass E (2009) Benefits and risks of oral diabetes agents compared with insulin in women with gestational diabetes: a systematic review. Obstetrics and Gynecology. 113 (1): 193-205. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e318190a459
- 13. Sutherland H, Bewsher P, Cormack J, Hughes C, Reid A, RusselL G, Stowers J (1974) Effect of moderate dosage of chlorpropamide in pregnancy on fetal outcome. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 49 (4): 283-291. doi: 10.1136/ adc.49.4.283.
- Langer O, Conway DL, Berkus MD, Xenakis EM-J, Gonzales O (2000) A comparison of glyburide and insulin in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. The New England Journal of Medicine. 343 (16): 1134-1138. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200010193431601
- 15. Rowan JA, Hague WM, Gao W, Battin MR, Moore MP (2008) Metformin versus insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes. The New England Journal of Medicine. 358 (19): 2003-2015. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0707193
- 16. Langer O (2006) The diabetes in pregnancy dilemma: leading changes with simple solutions. New York: University Press of America. ISBN: 978-0761832706.
- 17. Kavitha N, De S, Kanagasabai S (2013) Oral hypoglycemic agents in pregnancy: an update. The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India. 63 (2): 82-87. doi: 10.1007/s13224-012-0312-z
- Holt R, Lambert K (2014) The use of oral hypoglycaemic agents in pregnancy. Diabetic Medicine. 31 (3): 282-291. doi: 10.1111/dme.12376
- 19. Mack LR, Tomich PG (2017) Gestational diabetes: diagnosis, classification, and clinical care. Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America. 44 (2): 207-217. doi: 10.1016/j.ogc.2017.02.002
- Gangji AS, Cukierman T, Gerstein HC, Goldsmith CH, Clase CM (2007) A systematic review and meta-analysis of hypoglycemia and cardiovascular events: a comparison of glyburide with other secretagogues and with insulin. Diabetes Care. 30 (2): 389-394. doi: 10.2337/dc06-1789
- Douros A, Yin H, Y, Ohy, Filion KB, Azoulay L Suissa S (2017) Pharmacologic differences of sulfonylureas and the risk of adverse cardiovascular and hypoglycemic events. Diabetes Care. 40 (11): 1506-1513. doi: 10.2337/dc17-0595
- 22. Inzucchi SE (2002) Oral antihyperglycemic therapy for type 2 diabetes: scientific review. JAMA. 287 (3): 360-372. doi: 10.1001/jama.287.3.360
- 23. Rendell M (2004) The role of sulphonylureas in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Drugs. 64 (12): 1339-1358. doi: 10.2165/00003495-200464120-00006
- Bolen S, Feldman L, Vassy J, Wilson L, Yeh H-C, Marinopoulos S, Wiley C, Selvin E, Wilson R, Bass EB (2007) Systematic review: comparative effectiveness and safety of oral medications for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Annals of Internal Medicine. 147 (6): 386-399. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-147-6-200709180-00178

- 25. Nye HJ, Herrington WG (2011) Metformin: the safest hypoglycaemic agent in chronic kidney disease? Nephron, Clinical Practice. 118 (4): c380-c383. doi: 10.1159/000323739
- 26. Priya G, Kalra S (2018) Metformin in the management of diabetes during pregnancy and lactation. Drugs in Context. 7: 212523. doi: 10.7573/dic.212523
- 27. Lawal SK, Adeniji AA, Sulaiman SO, Akajewole MM, Buhari MO, Osinubi AA (2019) Comparative effects of glibenclamide, metformin and insulin on fetal pancreatic histology and maternal blood glucose in pregnant streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. African Health Science. 19 (3): 2491-2504. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v19i3.25
- 28. Furman BL (2021) Streptozotocin-induced diabetic models in mice and rats. Current Protocols. 1: e78. doi: 10.1002/cpz1.78
- 29. Miaffo D, Ntchapda F, Mahamad TA, Maidadi B Kamanyi A (2021) Hypoglycemic, antidyslipidemic and antioxydant effects of Vitellaria paradoxa barks extract on high-fat diet and streptozotocin-induced type 2 diabetes rats. Metabolism Open. 9: 100071. doi: 10.1016/j.metop.2020.100071
- 30. Chatzigeorgiou A, Halapas A, Kalafatakis K, Kamper E (2009) The use of animal models in the study of diabetes mellitus. In Vivo. 23 (2): 245-258. PMID: 19414410.
- 31. AjayI AF, Akhigbe RE (2020) Staging of the estrous cycle and induction of estrus in experimental rodents: an update. Fertility Research and Practice. 6 (5): 1-15. doi: 10.1186/s40738-020-00074-3
- 32. Ellman GL (1959) Tissue sulfhydryl groups. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics. 82 (1): 70-77. doi: 10.1016/ 0003-9861(59)90090-6
- 33. Kakkar P, Das B, Viswanathan P (1984) A modified spectrophotometric assay of superoxide dismutase. Indian Journal of Biochemsitry and Biophysics. 21 (2): 130-132. PMID: 6490072.
- Mkhwanazi BN, Serumula M R, Myburg RB, Van Heerden FR, Musabayane CT (2014) Antioxidant effects of maslinic acid in livers, hearts and kidneys of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats: effects on kidney function. Renal Failure. 36 (3): 419-431. doi: 10.3109/0886022X.2013.867799
- 35. Alturkistani HA, Tashkandi FM, Mohammedsaleh ZM (2016) Histological stains: a literature review and case study. Global Journal of Health Science. 8 (3): 72-79. doi: 10.5539/gjhs.v8n3p72
- 36. Rakieten N (1963) Studies on the diabetogenic action of streptozotocin (NSC-37917). Cancer Chemotherapy Reports. 29: 91-98. PMID: 13990586.
- 37. Balsells M, García-patterson A, Solà i, Roqué M, Gich I, Corcoy R (2015) Glibenclamide, metformin, and insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. British Medical Journal. 350: h 102. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h102
- 38. Krentz AJ, Bailey CJ (2005) Oral antidiabetic agents: current role in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Drugs. 65 (3): 385-411. doi: 10.2165/00003495-200565030-00005
- 39. Martins De Oliveira M, De Oliveira Andrade KF, Silva Lima GH, Casali Rocha T (2022) Metformin versus glyburide in treatment and control of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Einstein. (16794508): 20:eRW6155. doi: 10.31744/einstein\_journal/2022RW6155
- 40. Cassina M, Dona M, DI Gianantonio E, Litta P, Clementi M (2014) First-trimester exposure to metformin and risk of birth defects: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Human Reproduction Update. 20 (5): 656-669. doi: 10.1093 /humupd/dmu022
- 41. Alzamendi A, Castrogiovanni D, Ortega HH, Gaillard RC, Giovambattista A, Spinedi E (2010) Parametrial adipose tissue and metabolic dysfunctions induced by fructose-rich diet in normal and neonatal-androgenized adult female rats. Obesity. 18 (3): 441-448. doi: 10.1038/oby.2009.255
- Dhulkotia JS, Ola B, Fraser R, Farrell T (2010) Oral hypoglycemic agents vs insulin in management of gestational diabetes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 203 (5): 457. e1-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2010.06.044
- 43. Reynolds RM, Denison FC, Juszczak E, Bell JL, Penneycard J, Strachan MW, Lindsay RS, Alexander CI, Love CD, Whyt S (2017) Glibenclamide and metformin versus standard care in gestational diabetes (GRACES): a feasibility open label randomised trial. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 17 (1): 316. 1-9. doi: 10.1186/s12884-017-1505-3
- 44. Almulathanon AA, Mohammad JA, Fathi FH (2021) Comparative effects of metformin and glibenclamide on the redox balance in type 2 diabetic patients. Pharmacia. 68 (2): 327-332. doi:10.3897/pharmacia.68.e63365
- 45. Nunes PR, Bueno Pereira TO, Bertozzi Matheus M, Grandini NA, Siqueira JS, Correa CR, Abbade JF, Sandrim VC (2022) Glibenclamide increases nitric oxide levels and decreases oxidative stress in an in vitro model of preeclampsia. Antioxidants. 11 (8): 1620. doi: 10.3390/antiox11081620

Acknowledgments: Sincere acknowledgement goes to all the staff of the Department of Anatomy and the technical staff of House Animal, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Nigeria.

Author contribution: SAS, SKL, AAA, AAO & SOO conceptualized the research idea and participated in data collection. SKL, AAA, OSA & MNM participated in data analysis and interpretation. AAO, SOA & OSA drafted the manuscript. All authors participated in the preparation of the manuscript, and its revision. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for its contents.

**Funding:** This project was partially supported by a grant received by Prof. Osinubi, University of Lagos, Nigeria (Ref No: AD/ASU 225).

Ethical issues: Including plagiarism, informed consent, data fabrication or falsification, and double publication or submission were completely observed by the authors.

**Data availability statement:** The raw data that support the findings of this article are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author declarations: The authors confirm that all relevant ethical guidelines have been followed and any necessary IRB and/or ethics committee approvals have been obtained.